Chronic Neuroinflammation Induced by Systemic Administration of Lipopolysaccharide Leads to Behavioral Impairments in Mice
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Background: There is evidence that chronic neuroinflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. In this regard, animal models are considered important tools for the study of neuroinflammation associated with these diseases. The injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is the most commonly used approach for inducing neuroinflammation in animal models. However, there are limited and inconsistent studies regarding the effect of the chronic administration of LPS on behavioral parameters. Accordingly, this experimental study aimed to compare the effect of the chronic injection of LPS in two different doses on behavioral alterations, including spatial learning and working memory in mice.

Methods: Thirty-six male BALB/c mice were used in this study. After acclimatization for a week, mice were randomly divided into three groups. Control mice were intraperitoneally (IP) injected with saline for seven consecutive days, and mice of the second group received 250 μg/kg LPS (IP) dissolved in saline for a week. Finally, mice of the third group were administered 750 μg/kg LPS (IP) dissolved in saline for a week. Morris water maze (MWM) and Y-maze were performed to assess spatial learning and working memory alterations in treated mice, respectively.

Results: It was found that LPS treatment with a high dose (750 μg/kg) results in working memory impairment (P=0.0024) and cognitive dysfunction (P=0.0030) based on Y-maze and MWM test results.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that the LPS-induced model of chronic neuroinflammation can be used as an important tool for the investigation of the pathomechanisms of neurodegenerative disorders and the development of novel pharmacotherapeutic options.
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pathways, increasing the expression of pro-inflammatory genes (8, 9). Although LPS is mostly applied for stimulating glial cells, especially microglia, it has been reported that neurons express TLR4 as well. Interestingly, the activation of TLR4 in the neurons increases the production of various inflammatory mediators (5).

LPS not only is applied for in vitro studies but also its single or multiple injections are commonly used for the induction of inflammation in the central nervous system (CNS) or the periphery. It has been shown that various behavioral impairments, including decreased locomotion, weight loss, increased anxiety (10), and cognitive deficit (11-13), occur following LPS injection. These symptoms are mostly similar to the clinical manifestations of neurodegenerative diseases. Therefore, LPS injection is commonly employed to examine neuroinflammation-associated diseases in mice (10). The repeated injection of LPS 3 or 7 times was associated with Aβ accumulation in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex of mice. In addition, the level of cell death was increased in LPS-treated mice (13). However, the exact mechanisms of LPS-induced cognitive impairments are still unknown (13). Interestingly, LPS induces varied cognitive impairment outcomes regarding the serotype, route of administration, and the number of injections (5). Numerous studies delivered LPS by a single injection or a single dose and a few time points; thus it is impossible to assess the impacts of different time- and dose-dependent changes in neuroinflammation and behaviors (10).

**Objectives**

There are limited and inconsistent studies regarding the effect of the chronic administration of LPS on behavioral parameters. Accordingly, the present study first examined the effect of the chronic administration of LPS at different doses (250 or 750 μg/kg) on working memory using the Y-maze test in mice. Furthermore, the Morris water maze (MWM) test was also utilized to assess the levels of spatial learning and memory following LPS injections.

**Methods**

**Animals**

This experimental study was performed on male BALB/c mice. Thirty-six male mice (8-week-old, 25-30 g) were purchased from Royan Institute (Iran). All mice were acclimatized for a week in the university animal room under controlled temperature (21-23 °C), humidity (60-70%), and a 12-hour light/dark cycle with unlimited access to pellet (Pars Animal Food Company, Iran) and water.

**Treatment**

The schematic presentation of the experimental design is displayed in Figure 1. After acclimatization, mice were randomly divided into three groups. Control mice (n = 12) were intraperitoneally (IP) injected with saline for seven consecutive days. The second group of mice (LPS 250 μg/kg group, n = 12) received 250 μg/kg LPS (IP) dissolved in saline for a week (14), and finally, mice of the third group were administered with 750 μg/kg LPS (IP) (10) dissolved in saline for a week (LPS 250 μg/kg group, n = 12).

**Behavioral Analysis**

Behavioral tests, including the MWM test and Y-maze, were conducted to evaluate the effect of LPS on memory functions (Figure 1).

**Spontaneous Alteration Performance**

A spontaneous alteration test, based on the natural curiosity of rodents for exploring the unvisited area, is used to investigate the spatial working memory of mice. The Y-maze apparatus was built from wood, covered with black paint, consisting of three arms with the equal dimension of 38 × 8 × 13 cm (length × width × height). To minimize the effect of stress on behavioral tests, mice were habituated to handling two days before the test. After labeling the arms (A, B, C), mice were placed inside arm A and allowed to freely explore the apparatus for 8 minutes. Meanwhile, the sequence of entries in each arm was recorded manually. Finally, the percentage (%) of alteration was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{% of alteration} = \frac{\text{Number of alternations}}{\text{total number of arm entries}-2} \times 100
\]

**Recognition Memory Test**

The recognition memory test was performed as previously
described (15). With one blocked arm, mice were allowed to freely move in the maze for 5 minutes. After 15 minutes of inter-trial intervals, the mice were returned to the maze with three unblocked arms for 2 minutes (test trials). The time spent in the novel arms was recorded and analyzed by the following formula:

Recognition memory index = \frac{The\ time\ spent\ in\ the\ novel\ arm\ (s)}{total\ time\ spent\ in\ all\ arms\ (s)} \times 100

**Morris Water Maze Test**
To assess spatial learning and memory, the MWM test was used as described in our previous report (16). In summary, four 60-second trials were given for each mouse per day, and the training procedure was continued until day 4. Then, the hidden platform was removed on day 5, and mice were permitted to swim for 60 seconds. All experimental results, including the time to find the platform, distance moved, swimming velocity, and time spent in the target quadrant, were recorded using a computer targeting system and analyzed by Neurovision software (Omid Gostar Company, Iran).

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc. San Diego, CA, USA). The results of MWM tests, including escape latency, distance moved, and velocity, were analyzed using repeated measure two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) while taking treatment (saline vs. LPS) and trial (day 1 vs. day 2 vs. day 3 vs. day 4) as between-group variables, followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test. The data from the probe test and Y-maze were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and Dunnett’s post hoc test, respectively. The experimental data are presented as the mean ± SEM (standard error of mean), and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**LPS With High-Dose Induced Working Memory Impairments in Treated Mice**
Two Y-maze tests, including spontaneous alteration and recognition memory tests, were performed to investigate LPS-induced working memory impairments. As illustrated in Figure 2A, a high dose of LPS (750 μg/kg) induced a significant reduction in spontaneous alternations in treated mice compared to the control group (\( P = 0.0024 \)), while no significant difference was observed in a group injected with 250 μg/kg of LPS (Figure 2A).

A similar trend was also detected for the recognition memory index in which mice treated with 750 μg/kg of LPS significantly spent less time in the novel arms compared to the control group (\( P < 0.01 \)). In contrast, the group receiving 250 μg/kg of LPS spent approximately the same amount of time as the control group in the unvisited arm (Figure 2B).

**LPS With High-Dose Induced Spatial Learning and Memory Impairments in Treated Mice**
The MWM test was conducted to evaluate the effect of LPS on memory and learning function in treated mice. As depicted in Figure 3A, the escape latency was significantly increased in mice treated with a high dose of LPS (750 μg/kg) compared to the control group in the third (\( P = 0.0062 \))
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Discussion

LPS, as a strong inducer of inflammation, is considered an important tool for examining the role of neuroinflammation. In the present study, the behavioral impairments induced by repeated LPS systemic injection were evaluated with different doses in mice. Our results represented that mice treated with a high dose of LPS (750 μg/kg) exhibited significant cognitive deficits, including impairments in working memory and spatial learning.

Neurodegenerative disorders are debilitating conditions that lead to the progressive damage of the structure and function of the CNS. Currently, available treatment strategies are effective only in a small subset of affected patients and mainly focused on a limited number of symptoms without changing disease progression (17, 18). Despite extensive research, the pathomechanism of these disorders, which severely affect the quality of life of patients, remains largely elusive. Among various factors that contribute to the pathogenesis of these diseases, chronic neuroinflammation is known as an important factor involved in the development of several neurodegenerative disorders, including AD and PD (3). Studies have extensively demonstrated that activated microglia and astrocytes induced by inflammatory responses lead to neural damage, disruption in neural connectivity, and subsequently function decline (19). Evidence indicates that neuroinflammation can be a consequence of local injuries such as traumatic brain injury or systemic inflammatory response. In this regard, several studies have suggested a link between inflammatory disorders and the development of neurodegenerative disorders (20-23). Different animal models of neuroinflammation have been established based on these findings (24). The most commonly used approach is LPS, which is injected either in CNS or in the periphery. As of today, various protocols have been recommended in terms of LPS doses, route of injection, and single or repeated injections (5).

In the present study, the behavioral impairments induced by repeated LPS systemic injection were evaluated with different doses in mice. Our results represented that mice treated with a high dose of LPS (750 μg/kg) exhibited significant cognitive deficits, including impairments in working memory and spatial learning.

Neurodegenerative disorders are debilitating conditions that lead to the progressive damage of the structure and function of the CNS. Currently, available treatment strategies are effective only in a small subset of affected patients and mainly focused on a limited number of symptoms without changing disease progression (17, 18). Despite extensive research, the pathomechanism of these disorders, which severely affect the quality of life of patients, remains largely elusive. Among various factors that contribute to the pathogenesis of these diseases, chronic neuroinflammation is known as an important factor involved in the development of several neurodegenerative disorders, including AD and PD (3). Studies have extensively demonstrated that activated microglia and astrocytes induced by inflammatory responses lead to neural damage, disruption in neural connectivity, and subsequently function decline (19). Evidence indicates that neuroinflammation can be a consequence of local injuries such as traumatic brain injury or systemic inflammatory response. In this regard, several studies have suggested a link between inflammatory disorders and the development of neurodegenerative disorders (20-23). Different animal models of neuroinflammation have been established based on these findings (24). The most commonly used approach is LPS, which is injected either in CNS or in the periphery. As of today, various protocols have been recommended in terms of LPS doses, route of injection, and single or repeated injections (5).

In the present study, the behavioral impairments induced by repeated LPS systemic injection were evaluated with different doses in mice. Our results represented that mice treated with a high dose of LPS (750 μg/kg) exhibited significant cognitive deficits, including impairments in working memory and spatial learning.
and IL-1β, in different brain regions (5, 25-28). Moreover, these effects are accompanied by the microglia and astrocytes activation, consequently leading to extensive neural damage (14, 29, 30). Behavioral impairments, including spatial learning and memory deficits, have also been frequently found in systematic LPS challenge models (10, 14, 31). These results support the importance of LPS injection animal models to study the pathomechanisms of neurodegenerative disorders such as AD and PD. However, selecting a suitable route, injection time point, and dose of LPS represent a major challenge.

In the present study, the chronic neuroinflammation IP injections of LPS was induced to examine behavioral alterations in treated mice and provide a suitable model for future studies. For the evaluation of spatial learning and memory, the MWM test was performed six hours after the IP injections of LPS. Compared to the control group, mice treated with a high dose of LPS took a longer time to find the platform on the third and fourth days of the training trial. In addition, chronic neuroinflammation with a high dose of LPS induced spatial memory impairments in the treated mice. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first one to report working memory impairments in mice treated with a high dose of LPS. Similarly, Zhao et al concluded that LPS (750 μg/kg) administration for seven consecutive days causes cognitive impairments in treated mice based on the results of the MWM test (10). Spatial working memory impairment was also reported in mice treated with IP LPS (150 μg/kg) injections for seven days in the Institute of Cancer Research (14). With the same protocol, the intended LPS (500 μg/kg) systemic injections induced significant cognitive dysfunctions in the treated Wistar rats (32). Consistent with this finding, another study showed that the IP injection of LPS induced increased escape latency and distance traveled in treated mice compared to the control group (33). With an intrahippocampal injection, cognitive dysfunction was also found in another study (34). However, the main drawback of this route of injection is that intrahippocampal injection is complicated and associated with a low survival rate. It is noteworthy that numerous studies are confirming the link between intracerebroventricular injection LPS and cognitive impairments in treated animals. As mentioned earlier, being complicated and time-consuming has made this route difficult to perform (5). Although we did not detect any behavioral impairments in mice treated with a low dose of LPS (250 μg/kg) compared to the control group, another study demonstrated that the IP injections of LPS (250 μg/kg) induced memory dysfunction in adult male C57BL/6N mice (31). The reason for this contradiction might be the difference in animal species since the same dose and route of administration were used in these studies. Although the present study suggested an animal model of chronic neuroinflammation, it was only validated on male animals. Therefore, it is of interest to investigate this model on female mice and use more behavioral experiments to confirm it.

**Conclusion**

Overall, our results demonstrated that the chronic injections of LPS with high doses could induce spatial and working memory impairments in the treated mice. Our findings also suggested that the LPS-induced model of chronic neuroinflammation can be used as an important tool for the investigation of the pathomechanisms of neurodegenerative disorders and the development of new pharmacotherapeutic options.
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