Comparison of effect of botulinum toxin with clopidogrel and concomitant prescription of them in the survival of random skin flap in rats
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: In this study, the effect of botulinum toxin A and clopidogrel and concomitant administration of them in the survival of random skin flap in rat model were studied.

Methods: First in all rats, flaps of 3×10 cm was created, the first group was the control group, in the second group immediately after surgery, botulinum toxin with dose of (international unit) 1.5 IU for was injected as intradermal. In the third group, immediately after surgery, clopidogrel powder that was previously dissolved in the solution of sodium chloride, orally using OGT (Orogastric Tube) and with a dose of 25 mg/Kg was fed to animal. In the fourth group, after surgery, botulinum toxin like the second group and Plavix combination like the third group with the same dose was prescribed. After 7 days, level and flap cutting was measured and calculated by morphology and pathology.

Results: The mean of necrosis in control group was 21±2, in botulinum toxin A was 9.3±0.8, in clopidogrel group was 14±1.7 and in clopidogrel+botulinum toxin A was 12.6±1.5 percent. The difference was statistically significant between groups (P<0.0001).

Conclusion: The finding of our study showed that injection of botulinum toxin A compared to control group and compared to clopidogrel group and combination of clopidogrel with botulinum toxin A show greater effect in the survival of flap and reducing distal necrosis.
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Introduction:

Random skin flap is one of the most common surgery in plastic and reconstructive surgery. The coordination of these flaps in terms of color and consistency and thickness with initial tissue compared with other methods of restorative has increased the importance of these flaps. Flap necrosis is still considered as one of the very important complications in the reconstructive surgeries. For ease of using various flaps and increase their use, different modifications and refinements can be applied. Skin random flaps have different limitations, such as limitations of length to width ratio, limitation of the amount of rotation (Arc of rotation), proximity of flap to the wound or...

deficiency and placing flap in Zone of injury. Unfortunately flap distal necrosis is one of the occurrences that cannot always be prevented by proper design of the flap (1). Several medications such as topical medications have been investigated in preventing ischemic flap, including sympatholytics, vasodilators, calcium channel blockers, inhibitors of prostaglandin, anticoagulant, and glucocorticoids and free radical absorbers that recommended in survival of flap can be useful, most of these drugs cause vasodilation and increase blood flow of flap (2). The main disadvantage of these drugs is this that often is effective when used in high doses systemically and so will follow many systematic side effects that of course, in the case of topical use, these effects will be less (3). The pathogenesis of ischemia are not well known, but several mechanisms such as vasospasm, blood flow in arterial-venous shunt, vascular endothelial cell injury, intravascular thrombosis of microcircolar, tissue damage due to ischemia for it to be considered. Initial ischemic due to pick up enough in creating necrosis is significant, most previous studies have shown that most of tissues can survive by 10% average blood flow (4). Necrosis in flap causes significant morbidity, more surgery and longer stay of patient in the hospital. Various methods are used for increasing the survival of the flap using different drugs. In recent years, the use of antiplatelet medications as a new approach is considered in increasing flap survival. Previous studies on drugs of enoxaparin, aspirin and Plavix (clopidogrel bi sulfate) represent the relatively good effects of these drugs on flap survival (5-7). Plavix (clopidogrel bi sulfate) is derived of Tinopiridin that irreversibly and by binding to adenylate cyclase receptors coupled to adenosine diphosphate prevents platelet aggregation. In animal studies has been shown that Plavix lead to the reduction of venous and arterial thrombosis (6).

Few studies have done to evaluate the effect of botulinum toxin on skin flap survival (8-10). In the study of Kim and colleagues, Botox injections showed the increase of 8.3% in the skin flaps. Histologic investigation of above samples showed that the diameter of the vessels and the number of immature blood vessels in the samples under treated with Botox has increased. PCR study of above samples indicates the increase of incidence of Vascular th Factor, CD31 and inducible Nitric Oxidase Synthase compared to the control group that all of these factors affect dilation and vascular proliferation (9). It seems that Botox is effective on recovery of scar and flap by increasing speed of tissue recovery and improve blood supply (11).

The concomitant effect of botulinum toxin and Plavix on skin flaps has not been investigated. This study is designed aimed to evaluate the concomitant effect of these two drugs and compare the effect of using each of these drugs alone. In the case of more effect of these drugs during concomitant use, application of random flaps will be easier and broader.

Methods:

Type of study: Research is carried out on laboratory rat of Sprague - Alay of botulinum toxin Alay that can be purchased from Razi institute. Gender of all mice is male and age of all of them is the same, with no disease and weight of all of them is about 350 to 400 grams.

Forty prepared rats were randomly divided into 4 groups. The number of mice in each group was 10 mice and based on studying literature and formula of calculating sample was selected.

The study was interventional. All mice are kept based on recommendations of "Guide of the care and use of laboratory animals", 7th edition Published by the NRC 1997, ARENA/OLA Bvtvlmyvm toxin A, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Guide Book, 2nd Edition 2002. Mice after surgery to stop eating wound of each other separately and in separate cages were kept and food and water were freely available to them. Time of transferring mice in laboratory and time of their staying in laboratory of animals until practice time was similar. After dividing rats randomly into four similar groups, all mice with drugs of ketamine, 10% with dose of 90 mg/Kg and Zaylazain 2% with a dose of 9 mg/Kg were intramuscularly unconscious and in the case of need of dose of drugs were repeated. Then hair of posterior part of mice shaved with an electric shaver and the place was disinfected with a solution of betadine and alcohol. Then Antibiotic prophylaxis with cefazolin
intramuscularly and with the amount of 60 mg/Kg was injected.

After insure of the depth of anesthesia with test of Pinch Flexion/Aal AithdraW, surgery was started by observing methods of Aspepsi. In the first group or the control group, random dorsal skin flap according to modified method of McFarlane and using a plastic model with dimensions of 3×10 cm that each one cm was specified to sutures based on the design distal and hip joint as specified point of flap was determined. Skin incision with a scalpel No. 15 was done and the flap to the substrate of Panisiculus carnosus was raised. Any portural vessel or cut axial and a transparent sterile sheet in the context of wound to prevent venesection of flap (graft Effect) can be attached from context. The skin flap is returned to its initial place and using nylon string 4 - zero cut was sewn. Then 0.5Cc normal saline was injected in the central part of one-third of the proximal of flap.

In the second group immediately after surgery the method presented above, botulinum toxin with dose (international unit) 1.5 IU was injected as intradermal.

In the third group immediately after surgery clopidogrel powder that previously dissolved in a solution of sodium chloride orally using OGT (Orogastric Tube) and a dose of 25 mg/Kg is fed to animal. The drug at the same dose for 7 consecutive days repeated by OGT and also injected normal saline as a control with previous method.

In the fourth group, after surgery, botulinum toxin like the second group and Plavix composition like the third group with the same dose prescribed. All mice are kept under the same conditions and in separate containers.

At the end of the seventh day, all the animals first with analgesia method (gas CO2) are destroyed and then photography with a digital camera can be done.

After the destruction of mice, a number of flat transparent glass that under it a calibrated caliper (to calibrate the computer) is attached (to uniformity of posterior surface of rat) was placed on rat and then photograph of all length of flap with a calibrated caliper with D300 Nikon digital camera and micro lens of 60 mm Nikon with a magnification of 1:10 and distance 80 cm is taken. Then images was transferred to the computer and the area of all flap and area of necrosis level of each flap with software of Image J V.1.40 g (NIH, USA) after calibration is determined by square centimeter.

The histological evaluation of flap (necrotic and surviving part) by light microscopy was performed.

Samples of skin flap during steps of the clinical observation period which were separated from rat body were placed in 10% formalin immediately. Pathology samples after at least 24 hours that placed in 10% formalin were investigated apparently (macroscopic) by a pathologist and in terms of the apparent color - consistency - dimensions were evaluated, from each sample of skin at least 4 full width samples with width of 3-4 mm with full thickness were taken. Samples numbered in order from beginning to end flap. Finally, tissue samples from a half to 1 cm of the tissue.

Samples were numbered in order from beginning to end of flap. The first sample approximately with the distance of half to 1 cm from the beginning of tissue and other samples approximately with the distance of 2-3cm apart were sampled as identical and similar. The last sample of tissue has been from half to 1cm of end of tissue.

All samples were placed with discrete and identifiable numbers in special containers and prepared for the intake process with different percentages alcohols increasing in Tishoprocessor device and then molded with paraffin and each sample is placed on the block with the specified number. Blocked tissue samples prepared for cutting with microtome device that from the block (sample), at least 2 or more cutting with thickness of 2-3 micron prepared and placed on a slide and after steps of cutting prepared for stain on the slide that in this method, staining of the routine pathology Hematoksilin eosin E & H was performed.

After preparing slide for checking samples, first totally was studied and nearly all parts of cutting tissues evaluated and according to the existing table, the required parameters were observed and recorded. Different parameters due to intensity and presence or absence of them in different parts were also evaluated and registered.

Information obtained after the completion of the data collection form, by statistical software SPSS 11. 5 were analyzed. The distribution of quantitative data was checked in terms of normality.
In the analysis of results, statistical indicators of frequency, percentage, mean, median, mode, standard deviation and standard error was used. Comparing quantitative variables between two groups with statistical tests independent sample t-test (and, if necessary, Mann W Ahitney U test) and comparing quantitative variables between several groups using ANOVA (and, if necessary, Kruskal-W Aallis H) is done. All fields of confident were calculated with 95%. Researchers at all stages of the project were committed to the principles of the Helsinki Convention and maintaining the mice was according to determined standards. Anesthesia surgery and obliterate mice will be done with complete analgesia.

**Results:**

In this study, 40 surgeries were studied. The number of 10 mice in the control group and 10 rats in botulinum toxin group and 10 mice in the clopidogrel group and 10 mice in the clopidogrel group with botulinum toxin were compared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Comparison of epidemrmis necrosis in random skin flap in the blocks in studied groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The epidermal necrosis in the first block</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The epidermal necrosis in the first block</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The epidermal necrosis in the first block</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The epidermal necrosis in the first block</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2. Comparison of necrosis in random skin flap in the blocks in studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Botulinum toxin</th>
<th>Clopidogrel</th>
<th>Clopidogrel + Botulinum toxin</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The dermis necrosis in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
<td>5 (83.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
<td>1 (9.1%)</td>
<td>1 (9.1%)</td>
<td>9 (81.8%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
<td>4 (17.4%)</td>
<td>9 (39.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>10 (43.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
<td>3 (60.0%)</td>
<td>1 (20.0%)</td>
<td>1 (20.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
<td>3 (25.0%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td>8 (40.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>9 (45.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
<td>10 (41.7%)</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>7 (29.2%)</td>
<td>3 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dermis necrosis in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
<td>3 (30.0%)</td>
<td>5 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (60.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>2 (40.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-100%</td>
<td>10 (28.6%)</td>
<td>9 (25.7%)</td>
<td>8 (22.9%)</td>
<td>8 (22.9%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The dermis necrosis in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>1 (25.0%)</td>
<td>2 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-25%</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Comparison of congestion in random skin flap in the blocks in studied groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Botulinum toxin</th>
<th>Clopidogrel</th>
<th>Clopidogrel + Botulinum toxin</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The congestin in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>8 (28.6%)</td>
<td>7 (25.0%)</td>
<td>8 (28.6%)</td>
<td>5 (17.9%)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1 (10.0%)</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
<td>5 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The congestin in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6 (27.3%)</td>
<td>4 (18.2%)</td>
<td>6 (27.3%)</td>
<td>6 (27.3%)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>2 (25.0%)</td>
<td>4 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>5 (50.0%)</td>
<td>2 (20.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The congestin in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1 (20.0%)</td>
<td>8 (32.0%)</td>
<td>7 (28.0%)</td>
<td>5 (20.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The congestin in the first block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2 (28.6%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1 (14.3%)</td>
<td>4 (57.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5 (17.2%)</td>
<td>10 (34.5%)</td>
<td>9 (31.0%)</td>
<td>5 (17.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average of necrosis in the control group 21±2 in botulinum toxin A group 9.3±0.8 in clopidogrel group 14±1.7 and in clopidogrel + botulinum toxin A was 12.6±1.5 percent. The difference was statistically significant between groups.

The control group had significantly more necrosis to all groups (P<0.0001).

The necrosis of botulinum toxin A group was less than control group (P<0.0001), less than group P (P<0.0001) and less than clopidogrel + botulinum toxin A (P=0.003), respectively.

Average of necrosis of clopidogrel group was less than the control group (P<0.0001) and more than botulinum toxin A (P<0.0001) and didn’t differ with clopidogrel+botulinum toxin A (P=0.324).

The average of necrosis in clopidogrel group + botulinum toxin A was less than control group (P<0.0001) and more than botulinum toxin A (P<0.0001) and didn’t differ with clopidogrel group (P=0.32). Figure 1 shows the average of necrosis.

Compare pathology slides are shown in Tables 1-4. Pathological slides image is shown in Figure 1.
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Conclusion:

The findings of our study showed that injection of botulinum toxin compared to the control group and compared to clopidogrel group and clopidogrel combined with botulinum toxin A show greater effect on the survival of flap and reduce distal necrosis.

This is the first study that compares the botulinum toxin A compared to the control group and compared to clopidogrel group and clopidogrel combined with botulinum toxin A. Previous studies had shown the effects of botulinum toxin A alone. In line with this study Yidrim and colleagues demonstrated that the use of botulinum toxin A, two weeks after lifting the flap causes to reduce necrosis area (10).

Ersoy and colleagues a study evaluated the effect of clopidogrel on flap survival (6).

Clopidogrel in animal models reduces the incidence of arterial and venous and in heart disease with the treatment of PCI is used (12,13). But effect of botulinum toxin A was better than the effect of clopidogrel and the combination of these two in flap survival. Mechanism of these two drugs is different.

The basis of the mechanism of botulinum toxin A is block of releasing acetylcholine from the neuron’s presynaptic. Morris noted that botulinum toxin A can inhibit the secretion of NEPY of perivascular nerves as botulinum toxin A blocks the release of Ach from presynaptic (14). Kim showed the effects of anti-sympathetic of botulinum toxin A (9) and Arnold reported anti-inflammatory effects of botulinum toxin A (15,16). However, based on the results of our study we did not see anti-inflammatory effect from botulinum toxin A and the congestion in all groups was equal. The other mechanisms involved in relation to botulinum toxin A can refer to Clemens study.

Based on the observations of Clemens, botulinum toxin type A was successful in the prevention of thrombosis in this animal model. In that study, it was suggested that botulinum toxin type A has the ability to reduce vasospasm and thrombosis and may have applications to improve flap survival in some patients (17).

In our observations, the necrosis of Clopidogrel group was lower than control group. This drug after an hour reaches to the appropriate bioavailability in the plasma. The mechanism of clopidogrel effect on flap survival can be considered in preventing platelet aggregation. Effective drug concentration of clopidogrel in animal was in the oral absorption according to previous studies.

Blood circulation in the skin layers is the most important factor in the survival of random skin flap. Blood circulation due to hormonal and neurological mechanisms is controlled (18). It seems both of the used materials in this study have caused increase of blood flow.

In connection with the effect of what it was justified, additional and more detailed testing is...

Ferdos Moznebi, et al.

required, but regardless of the errors caused by experimental tests could be considered that botulinum toxin type A with a mechanism of other than angiogenesis reduces necrosis because in view of pathological angiogenesis in other than block a, proximal in other groups is equal. If the necrotic of epidermis and dermis in block b and c in this study was more important due to more distal. Necrosis of the dermis and epidermis based on observations and statistical analysis in this study in the group of botulinum toxin A significantly is lower in the block b and c. Or according to the above studies, mechanism of this observation can be justified in sampatolic of botulinum toxin A and not applications of angiogenesis or congestion.

Stone reports in 2012 supports this finding. Stone with topical use of botulinum toxin A observed arteries dilation with 69% efficiency increase of blood flow, without change systemic arterial pressure in rat (19). In addition, the study of Dennis in 2013 by method of immunofluorescence vascular, tissue, cellular and molecular showed that Botox injection causes flap survival by improving blood flow and oxygen delivery (20). However, to confirm this finding suggests that future studies done on adrenergic blockers or antagonists sympathetic as injectable drugs in random skin flap.

Recommended that the amount of noradrenaline in the region of flap measured or similar sampatolic used.
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مقایسه اثر سم بوتولینوم و کلوپیدوگرل و تجویز هم‌زمان آنها در بقای فلپ پوستی راندوم در موش رت

دکتر فردوس مزنبی، دکتر قاسمعلی خراسانی، دکتر سیدابوذر حسینی، دکتر کوروش قنبرزاده، دکتر مسعود اینالو، دکتر بتول قربانی یکتا

مجله پزشکی هرمزگان سال نوزدهم شماره پنجم آذر و دی ۸۹ صفحات ۴۱۵-۴۲۳

چکیده

目的: در این مطالعه، اثر سم بوتولینوم A و کلوپیدوگرل و تجویز هم‌زمان آنها در بقای فلپ پوستی راندوم در موش رت بررسی گردید.

روش کار: در تمام رت‌ها فلپ‌های cm × cm 91 × 3 ایجاد شدند. گروه اول گروه کنترل بود. در گروه دوم، سم بوتولینوم با دوز IU (international unit) 5/9 به صورت داخل جلدوی تزریق می‌شد. در گروه سوم، سم بوتولینوم در محلول کلرور سدیم حل شده به صورت خوراکی با استفاده از (Orogastric Tube) OGT و با دوز 25 mg/ Kg به حیوان خورانده می‌شد. در گروه چهارم، پودر کلوپیدوگرل که قبلاً در محلول کلرور سدیم حل شده با استفاده از OGT در حیوان خورانده می‌شد. در گروه پنجم، سم بوتولینوم بعد از انجام عمل جراحی در محلول کلرور سدیم حل شده با استفاده از OGT تزریق می‌شد. در گروه چهارم، سم بوتولینوم مانند گروه دوم و ترکیب کلوپیدوگرل و سم بوتولینوم مانند گروه سوم به حیوان خورانده می‌شد. پس از هر 8 روز، سطح برش فلپ تحت اندازه‌گیری و محاسبات مورفولوژی و پاتولوژی قرار گرفت.

نتایج: میانگین نکروز در گروه کنترل 4 ± 49 در گروه سم بوتولینوم A 7/1 ± 3/1 در گروه کلوپیدوگرل 8/9 ± 92 و در گروه کلوپیدوگرل + سم بوتولینوم A 5/9 ± 6/94 درصد بود. اختلاف بین گروه‌ها از نظر آماری معنی‌دار بود (P<0/001).

نتیجه‌گیری: بر اساس مطالعه ما نشان داد که تزریق سم بوتولینوم A نسبت به گروه کنترل و نسبت به گروه کلوپیدوگرل و ترکیب کلوپیدوگرل با سم بوتولینوم A اثر بیشتری را در بقای فلپ و کاهش تکوز دیستال نشان می‌دهد.

کلیدواژه‌ها: سم بوتولینوم، کلوپیدوگرل، فلپ