Hormozgan Medical Journal





Hormozgan Med J. 2021; 25(1):3-8

Research Article



Lipid Profile in Pregnant Women With and Without Gestational Diabetes Mellitus: A Case-Control Study

Zeynab Farsangi¹, Ghazal Zoghi², Masoumeh Kheirandish², Roghayeh Shahbazi³, Masoumeh Mahmoudi², Mahmood Khayatian⁴, Shahram Zare⁵, Ladan Hajiabdolrassouli²*

¹Student Research Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran ²Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas Iran ³Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada ⁴Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran ⁵Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran

Abstract

Background: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), the most common metabolic disorder of pregnancy, is associated with alterations in circulating lipids.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to compare lipid profiles in women with and without GDM.

Methods: This study was performed on 84 pregnant women at 26-30 weeks of gestation (42 pregnant women with GDM as cases and 42 healthy pregnant women as controls). After obtaining informed consent and gathering demographic data, subjects underwent a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test and lipid profile was also measured in all subjects.

Results: We found that high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were significantly higher in the GDM group $(53.10\pm1.72~\text{vs}\ 46.64\pm1.70~\text{mg/dL},\ P=0.008)$. Total cholesterol $(228.96\pm52.03~\text{vs}\ 211.59\pm41.83~\text{mg/dL})$ and triglyceride (TG) levels $(225.58\pm89.84~\text{vs}\ 208.38\pm80.66~\text{mg/dL})$ were also higher in the GDM group; however, the differences were not statistically significant $(P=0.770~\text{and}\ P=0.327,\ \text{respectively})$. On the contrary, low-density lipoprotein was found to be non-significantly higher in the healthy group $(144.54\pm26.01~\text{vs}\ 122.41\pm4.82~\text{mg/dL},\ P=0.709)$. Besides, there was a significant association between HDL levels and GDM (OR: 1.049; $95\%~\text{Cl:}\ 1.009-1.090,\ P=0.015$). This association remained significant when adjusted for age, body mass index (BMI), and gestational age (OR: 1.010; $95\%~\text{Cl:}\ 1.002-1.017,\ P=0.009$). No significant association was found between GDM and TG, cholesterol, and LDL levels.

Conclusion: HDL levels are significantly higher in pregnant women with GDM compared to pregnant women without GDM. HDL level is significantly associated with GDM even after adjustment for age, BMI, and gestational age.

Keywords: Dyslipidemia, Gestational diabetes mellitus, Lipid profile, Pregnancy

Received March 17, 2020, Accepted: September 6, 2020, Published Online: November 24, 2020

*Correspondence to Ladan Hajiabdolrassouli, Email: ladanhajiabdolrassouli98@ gmail.com



Background

First occurrence of glucose intolerance during pregnancy is defined as gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (1). It is the most common metabolic disorder during pregnancy which can be diagnosed via an oral glucose tolerance test at 24-28 weeks of gestation (2). Approximately 1% to 20% of pregnancies are affected by GDM worldwide and its incidence continues to increase (3,4). Obesity, ethnicity, and older maternal age are risk factors for GDM, among which obesity is the strongest (5). GDM is associated with unfavorable maternal and neonatal outcomes (6-13).

Some physiologic alterations occur during pregnancy to meet the nutritional needs of the fetus, namely accumulation of adipose tissue, insulin resistance, increase in insulin secretion with unchanged, decreased, or increased sensitivity to insulin in early pregnancy, and accelerated lipolysis, shrinkage of adipose tissue, increased levels of free fatty acids, decreased ability of insulin to inhibit lipolysis in late pregnancy (14-16). It has been demonstrated that these alterations are at least in part due to changes in human placental lactogen, estrogen, progesterone, cortisol, chorionic gonadotrophin, serum adiponectin, and prolactin (17,18). All the aforementioned processes are exaggerated in GDM leading to a greater increase in free fatty acid levels, more severe insulin resistance and increased glucose production in the liver (1,14,19,20). Put differently, there may be an underlying metabolic dysfunction in some women before pregnancy that transiently manifests itself as GDM during pregnancy (21).

Several researchers have studied the different lipid patterns in healthy pregnant women in comparison with pregnant women with GDM and there is almost a consensus that triglyceride (TG) levels are higher in GDM; however, the same agreement does not exist regarding other circulating lipids (22). In a meta-analysis of 60 studies to evaluate the relationship between lipid measures throughout pregnancy and GDM, TG levels were significantly elevated in women with GDM compared to those without GDM and this finding was consistent across all the three trimesters of pregnancy. On the other hand, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were significantly lower in women with GDM in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. However, there was no difference between women with and without GDM regarding total cholesterol or low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels (23).

Pregnancy dyslipidemia can worsen insulin resistance in GDM, and vice versa. Hypertriglyceridemia in pregnant women can adversely affect maternal outcomes; moreover, it can have a long-term effect on the development of metabolic syndrome in the offsprings (24). In addition, maternal hypercholesterolemia is associated with altered fetal development, susceptibility to atherosclerosis, obesity, and type 2 diabetes later in life (25-27).

Objectives

To the best of our knowledge, there have been fewer studies on the lipid profile of pregnant women with or without GDM in Iran compared to other parts of the world. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to compare the lipid profile of pregnant women with and without GDM in Iranian population.

Methods Participants

This case-control study was conducted in the endocrinology clinic of Shahid Mohammadi hospital in Bandar Abbas, in 2015. Inclusion criteria were women of childbearing age with 26 to 30 weeks of gestation and single-fetus pregnancy. Exclusion criteria were a history of diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and chronic diseases. According to suggested formula for sample size calculating in order to comparison of means between two independent groups, considering type I error of 5% $(\alpha = 0.05)$ and type II error of 20% $(\beta = 0.20, power = 80\%)$, and based on the mean and standard deviation of LDL-C concentration in case and control groups reported in a same previous study (28), forty subjects for each group were calculated. Subjects were selected from those who had been diagnosed as having GDM by a gynecologist and were referred to the Diabetes Clinic of Hormozgan University of Medical science for further investigation, treatment, or intervention. No previous treatment or lifestyle alteration had been made prior to referral.

Study Design

After obtaining written informed consent from the subjects, in general, 84 pregnant women were evaluated;

42 cases previously diagnosed with GDM according to an impaired GTT (Glucose Tolerance Test) who attended the endocrinology clinic to receive treatment, and 42 healthy pregnant women (with normal GTT) in the control group. A 75-g GTT test and a lipid profile test were performed for cases (before initiation of insulin therapy) and controls.

In order to measure lipid profile, after at least 12 hours of fasting and 5 minutes of rest, an 8 mL venous blood sample was collected from the cases and controls using a Venoject syringe containing anticoagulant. The samples then underwent plasma centrifugation and cholesterol, TG, LDL, and HDL were measured using an enzymatic assay using commercial kits (Pars Azmun Inc, Iran). Reference ranges for cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and TG were based on NCEP-ATP III (National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III) (29).

In order to perform the GTT test, a fasting sample was first taken from the subjects. Then they received a solution of 75 mg glucose in 300 mL water and two more 2-mL blood samples were subsequently collected at 1 hour and 2 hours after taking the solution. Plasma glucose levels were determined in all the three samples after plasma centrifugation using gluco-oxidase method using a commercial kit (Pars Azmun Inc, Iran). According to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) statement, pregnant women meeting at least one of the following criteria are considered to have GDM: 1) fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥92 mg/dL; 2) one-hour plasma glucose (1h-PG) ≥180 mg/dL; and 3) two-hour plasma glucose (2h-PG) ≥153 mg/dL (30).

A urine sample was also collected from the participants to determine proteinuria by a dipstick. The results of urine dipstick for proteinuria were negative, trace, or positive.

In addition, demographic data was gathered from all the subjects using a check-list consisting of age, gravidity, parity, live births, gestational age, family history of dyslipidemia, pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), weight gain during the first and second trimesters, history of high blood pressure in previous pregnancies, and history of abortion or still birth.

Data Analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using SPSS software, version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of data distribution was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the mean, standard deviation, frequency and percentage of variables. Independent *t* test (for normally distributed data) and Mann-Whitney test (for non-normally distributed data) were used to compare quantitative variables between the two groups. Chi-square test was performed to compare qualitative variables between groups. *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.



Results

This study was performed on 84 pregnant women at 26 to 30 weeks of gestation (42 pregnant women with GDM as cases and 42 healthy pregnant women as controls). Tables 1 and 2 show the general and clinical characteristics of participants. The mean ages of the cases and controls were 29.62 ± 0.80 and 27.54 ± 0.95 years, respectively. We found that gestational age, gravidity, parity, live births, SBP, FPG, and 1h-PG and 2h-PG were significantly higher in the GDM group (P < 0.05). Other measured variables were not different between groups (Table 1).

Significantly more women in the GDM group had GDM in previous pregnancies compared to the healthy group (15.5% vs 0%, P=0.007), while no differences were observed regarding hypertension in previous pregnancies, family history of dyslipidemia, and proteinuria between two groups (Table 2).

By comparing the lipid profiles, we found significantly higher HDL levels in the GDM group compared to the healthy group (P=0.008). TG and cholesterol levels were also higher in the GDM group; however, the differences were not statistically significant (P=0.327, P=0.770, respectively). Conversely, LDL levels were higher in the healthy group with no statistical significance (P=0.709) (Table 3).

We found a significant association between HDL levels and GDM (OR: 1.049, 95% CI: 1.009-1.090, P=0.015). This association remained significant when adjusted for age, BMI, and gestational age (OR: 1.010, 95% CI 1.002-

1.017, P=0.009). No significant association was found between GDM and TG, cholesterol, and LDL levels (P>0.05) (Table 4).

Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to compare lipid profiles of pregnant women with and without GDM. All components of the lipid profile were higher in pregnant women with GDM, except for LDL; however, only the differences regarding HDL were statistically significant between groups. HDL was also significantly associated with GDM in pregnant women, even after adjustment for age, BMI, and gestational age. On the contrary, there was no significant association between GDM and TG, LDL, and cholesterol.

Abnormal lipid profile in pregnant women with GDM has been reported (23,30). Koukkou et al found that total cholesterol concentration was not significantly different between pregnant women with GDM and normal pregnant women, while lower LDL-c concentration was reported in the third trimester of pregnancy in GDM group compared to controls (30). Moreover, Schaefer-Graf et al (31), Sobki et al (32), and Grissa et al (33) showed that maternal serum cholesterol and LDL-C levels did not differ between control pregnancies and those with GDM. Total cholesterol and LDL-C levels did not differ between pregnant women with and without GDM in our study, which was consistent with the results of the aforementioned studies. In contrast, Asif et al (34),

Table 1. Comparison of General and Clinical Characteristics Between Pregnant Women With GDM and Healthy Pregnant Women

	G		
Variables	GDM Group (n=42) Mean ± SD	Healthy Group (n=42) Mean ± SD	<i>P</i> Value ^a
Age (y)	29.62 ± 0.80	27.54 ± 0.95	0.073
Gestational age (wk)	26.87 ± 0.89	27.69 ± 0.24	0.039
BMI (kg/m²) ^b	23.51 ± 3.37	22.80 ± 3.18	0.287
Weight gain in the 1st trimester (kg)	0.68 ± 0.84	0.82 ± 0.99	0.272
Weight gain in the 2 nd trimester (kg)	5.54 ± 0.12	5.38 ± 0.304	0.441
Gravidity	2.24 ± 0.17	1.71 ± 0.13	0.048
Parity	1.06 ± 0.14	0.5 ± 0.09	0.006
Live births	1 ± 0.14	0.5 ± 0.09	0.020
Abortion	0.18 ± 0.67	0.21 ± 0.08	0.714
Death	0.069 ± 0.033	0	0.084
FPG ^b (mg/dL)	93.36 ± 11.32	78.88 ± 7.52	< 0.001
1h-PG ^b (mg/dL)	190.48 ± 28.89	129.69 ± 23.56	< 0.001
2h-PG (mg/dL)	159.39 ± 3.206	110.5 ± 2.81	< 0.001
SBP (mm Hg)	112.5 ± 0.85	110 ± 1.32	0.045
DBP (mm Hg)	70.94 ± 0.92	68.69 ± 1.13	0.101

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; 1h-PG, one-hour plasma glucose; 2h-PG, two-hour plasma glucose.

^b Analyzed by independent *t* test. Other variables were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test.



^a P value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Table 2. Comparison of Some Clinical Features Between Pregnant Women With GDM and Healthy Pregnant Women

	Groups			
Variables		GDM Group (n = 42)	Healthy Ggroup (n = 42)	<i>P</i> Value ^a
		No. (%)	No. (%)	
Family history of dualinidamia	Yes	7 (16.66)	8 (19)	0.479
Family history of dyslipidemia	No	35 (83.33)	34 (81)	0.479
CDM in annuitation	Yes	7 (16.66)	O (O)	0.007
GDM in previous pregnancies	No	35 (83.33)	42 (100)	0.007
LITAL	Yes	2 (4.76)	1 (2.4)	0.757
HTN in previous pregnancies	No	40 (95.23)	41 (97.6)	0.757
	Negative	38 (90.47)	37 (88.1)	
Proteinuria	Trace	4 (9.52)	4 (9.5)	0.500
	Positive	0 (0)	1 (2.4)	

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GDM; HTN, hypertension.

Table 3. Comparison of Lipid Profile Between Pregnant Women With GDM and Healthy Pregnant Women

	G	roups	
Variables ^b	GDM Group (n=42)	Healthy Group (n=42)	<i>P</i> Value ^a
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	225.58±89.84	208.38±80.66	0.327
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	228.96±52.03	211.59±41.83	0.770
LDL (mg/dL)	122.41±4.82	144.54±26.01	0.709
HDL (mg/dL)	53.10±1.72	46.64±1.70	0.008

Abbreviations: GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Table 4. Association Between Lipid Profile and GDM in Pregnant Women

Variables	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value ^a	Adjusted Odds Ratio ^b (95% CI)	P Value ^a
Triglyceride	1.002 (0.998-1.007)	0.324	1.000 (0.999-1.002)	0.469
Cholesterol	1.008 (0.999-1.017)	0.082	1.002 (1.000-1.004)	0.074
LDL	0.988 (0.993-1.003)	0.406	1.000 (0.999-1.000)	0.390
HDL	1.049 (1.009-1.090)	0.015	1.010 (1.002-1.017)	0.009

Abbreviations: GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

McGrowder et al (35), and Khan et al (36) found that higher proportion pregnant women with GDM had high levels of total cholesterol compared to controls.

With regard to TG level, Koukkou et al (30), Di Cianni et al (37), Sánchez-Vera et al (38), and Aslan et al (39) found that women with GDM had significantly higher serum TG concentrations compared to controls; these results were in contract with findings of Grissa et al study (33). Similar to other studies, we found higher TG levels in pregnant women with GDM, although the difference between groups was not statistically significant.

In addition, Koukkou et al (30), Sobki et al (32), McGrowder et al (35) and Khan et al (36) did not find a significant difference in HDL-C levels between groups. While, Di Cianni et al (37), Khosrowbeygi et al (28) and Ryckman et al (23) found lower levels of HDL in GDM

subjects compared to healthy pregnant subjects. We found significantly higher HDL levels in women with GDM; in this regard, the results of our study were opposed to the aforementioned studies.

It has proved that metabolic disorders such as GDM are associated with a lower level of HDL, although in this study, a higher level of HDL was observed in women with GDM. It should be noted that we did not assay the various components of HDL ((A-I) HDL and (A-2) HDL). So, merely based on HDL quantity, it should not be concluded that higher level of HDL in the GDM group is in contrary with the component of metabolic disorders (40). Furthermore, we did not assay dietary intake and physical activity level of subjects in our study; therefore, the contrast between our study and other studies may be due to differences regarding nutrition and physical

^a P value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

 $^{^{}a}$ *P* value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

^b Triglyceride and cholesterol were analyzed by independent t test. LDL and HDL were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test.

^a *P* value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

^b Adjusted for BMI, age and gestational age

activity in the study populations. Additionally, different quality of enzymatic assays which results in heterogeneous results should be taken into consideration. Besides, some studies had evaluated lipid profiles of pregnant women with GDM across all the three trimesters of pregnancy, while in our study the evaluation of lipid profile was limited to the third trimester; thus, some results were not comparable.

Conclusions

Mean values of TG, cholesterol, and HDL levels were higher in women with GDM compared to healthy pregnant women with only significant differences regarding HDL. LDL levels were non-significantly higher in the healthy group. Because of inconsistent Data in this context, further study with the large sample size and strong design are recommended.

Authors' Contribution

Study concept and design: LH. Acquisition of data: ZF. Analysis and interpretation of data: MM. Drafting of the manuscript: GZ. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: MKhe. Statistical analysis: SZ. Administrative, technical, and material support: MKha. Study supervision: RS.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests.

Ethical Approval

The study received ethics approval from the Ethics Committee of Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences.

Funding/Support

This study was financially supported by Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the dedicated efforts of the investigators, the coordinators, the volunteer patients who participated in this study.

References

- Metzger BE, Persson B, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Cruickshank JK, Deerochanawong C, et al. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome study: neonatal glycemia. Pediatrics. 2010;126(6):e1545-52. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-2257.
- Colberg SR, Castorino K, Jovanovič L. Prescribing physical activity to prevent and manage gestational diabetes. World J Diabetes. 2013;4(6):256-62. doi: 10.4239/wjd.v4.i6.256.
- Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2018. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(Suppl 1):S13-S27. doi: 10.2337/dc18-S002.
- Kaiser B, Razurel C, Jeannot E. Impact of health beliefs, social support and self-efficacy on physical activity and dietary habits during the post-partum period after gestational diabetes mellitus: study protocol. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013;13:133. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-13-133.
- Jovanovic L, Pettitt DJ. Gestational diabetes mellitus. JAMA. 2001;286(20):2516-8. doi: 10.1001/jama.286.20.2516.
- Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009;373(9677):1773-9.

- doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(09)60731-5.
- Davis CL, Gutt M, Llabre MM, Marks JB, O'Sullivan MJ, Potter JE, et al. History of gestational diabetes, insulin resistance and coronary risk. J Diabetes Complications. 1999;13(4):216-23. doi: 10.1016/s1056-8727(99)00048-3.
- Vrachnis N, Augoulea A, Iliodromiti Z, Lambrinoudaki I, Sifakis S, Creatsas G. Previous gestational diabetes mellitus and markers of cardiovascular risk. Int J Endocrinol. 2012;2012;458610. doi: 10.1155/2012/458610.
- Catalano PM, McIntyre HD, Cruickshank JK, McCance DR, Dyer AR, Metzger BE, et al. The hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcome study: associations of GDM and obesity with pregnancy outcomes. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(4):780-6. doi: 10.2337/dc11-1790.
- Hiersch L, Yogev Y. Impact of gestational hyperglycemia on maternal and child health. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2014;17(3):255-60. doi: 10.1097/mco.00000000000000030.
- Wahabi HA, Esmaeil SA, Fayed A, Al-Shaikh G, Alzeidan RA. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus and adverse pregnancy outcomes. BMC Res Notes. 2012;5:496. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-5-496.
- Wahabi HA, Esmaeil SA, Fayed A, Alzeidan RA. Gestational diabetes mellitus: maternal and perinatal outcomes in King Khalid University Hospital, Saudi Arabia. J Egypt Public Health Assoc. 2013;88(2):104-8. doi: 10.1097/01. epx.0000430392.57811.20.
- Meshari AA, De Silva S, Rahman I. Fetal macrosomiamaternal risks and fetal outcome. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 1990;32(3):215-22. doi: 10.1016/0020-7292(90)90348-o.
- Catalano PM, Huston L, Amini SB, Kalhan SC. Longitudinal changes in glucose metabolism during pregnancy in obese women with normal glucose tolerance and gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1999;180(4):903-16. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9378(99)70662-9.
- Catalano PM, Tyzbir ED, Wolfe RR, Calles J, Roman NM, Amini SB, et al. Carbohydrate metabolism during pregnancy in control subjects and women with gestational diabetes. Am J Physiol. 1993;264(1 Pt 1):E60-7. doi: 10.1152/ ajpendo.1993.264.1.E60.
- Homko CJ, Sivan E, Reece EA, Boden G. Fuel metabolism during pregnancy. Semin Reprod Endocrinol. 1999;17(2):119-25. doi: 10.1055/s-2007-1016219.
- Lenin M, Ramasamy R, Kulkarani S, Ghose S, Srinivasan ARS, Sathish Babu M. Association of KCNJ11(RS5219) gene polymorphism with biochemical markers of glycemic status and insulin resistance in gestational diabetes mellitus. Meta Gene. 2018;16:134-8. doi: 10.1016/j.mgene.2018.02.003.
- Haghiac M, Basu S, Presley L, Serre D, Catalano PM, Hauguel-de Mouzon S. Patterns of adiponectin expression in term pregnancy: impact of obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(9):3427-34. doi: 10.1210/jc.2013-4074.
- Buchanan TA, Xiang AH, Kjos SL, Trigo E, Lee WP, Peters RK. Antepartum predictors of the development of type 2 diabetes in Latino women 11-26 months after pregnancies complicated by gestational diabetes. Diabetes. 1999;48(12):2430-6. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.12.2430.
- Friedman JE, Ishizuka T, Shao J, Huston L, Highman T, Catalano P. Impaired glucose transport and insulin receptor tyrosine phosphorylation in skeletal muscle from obese women with gestational diabetes. Diabetes. 1999;48(9):1807-14. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.9.1807.
- 21. Carpenter MW. Gestational diabetes, pregnancy hypertension, and late vascular disease. Diabetes Care. 2007;30 Suppl 2:S246-50. doi: 10.2337/dc07-s224.
- 22. Herrera E, Ortega-Senovilla H. Disturbances in lipid

- metabolism in diabetic pregnancy-are these the cause of the problem? Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;24(4):515-25. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2010.05.006.
- Ryckman KK, Spracklen CN, Smith CJ, Robinson JG, Saftlas AF. Maternal lipid levels during pregnancy and gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BJOG. 2015;122(5):643-51. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.13261.
- Jarvie E, Hauguel-de-Mouzon S, Nelson SM, Sattar N, Catalano PM, Freeman DJ. Lipotoxicity in obese pregnancy and its potential role in adverse pregnancy outcome and obesity in the offspring. Clin Sci (Lond). 2010;119(3):123-9. doi: 10.1042/cs20090640.
- Krishnaveni GV, Veena SR, Hill JC, Kehoe S, Karat SC, Fall CH. Intrauterine exposure to maternal diabetes is associated with higher adiposity and insulin resistance and clustering of cardiovascular risk markers in Indian children. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(2):402-4. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1393.
- Napoli C, Glass CK, Witztum JL, Deutsch R, D'Armiento FP, Palinski W. Influence of maternal hypercholesterolaemia during pregnancy on progression of early atherosclerotic lesions in childhood: Fate of Early Lesions in Children (FELIC) study. Lancet. 1999;354(9186):1234-41. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(99)02131-5.
- Pettitt DJ, Aleck KA, Baird HR, Carraher MJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC. Congenital susceptibility to NIDDM. Role of intrauterine environment. Diabetes. 1988;37(5):622-8. doi: 10.2337/diab.37.5.622.
- 28. Khosrowbeygi A, Shiamizadeh N, Taghizadeh N. Maternal circulating levels of some metabolic syndrome biomarkers in gestational diabetes mellitus. Endocrine. 2016;51(2):245-55. doi: 10.1007/s12020-015-0697-4.
- National Cholesterol Education Program. ATP III Guidelines at-a-Glance Quick Desk Reference. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; 2001.
- Koukkou E, Watts GF, Lowy C. Serum lipid, lipoprotein and apolipoprotein changes in gestational diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional and prospective study. J Clin Pathol. 1996;49(8):634-7. doi: 10.1136/jcp.49.8.634.
- Schaefer-Graf UM, Meitzner K, Ortega-Senovilla H, Graf K, Vetter K, Abou-Dakn M, et al. Differences in the implications of maternal lipids on fetal metabolism and growth between

- gestational diabetes mellitus and control pregnancies. Diabet Med. 2011;28(9):1053-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2011.03346.x.
- Sobki SH, Al-Senaidy AM, Al-Shammari TA, Inam SS, Al-Gwiser AA, Bukhari SA. Impact of gestational diabetes on lipid profiling and indices of oxidative stress in maternal and cord plasma. Saudi Med J. 2004;25(7):876-80.
- Grissa O, Atègbo JM, Yessoufou A, Tabka Z, Miled A, Jerbi M, et al. Antioxidant status and circulating lipids are altered in human gestational diabetes and macrosomia. Transl Res. 2007;150(3):164-71. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2007.03.007.
- Asif M, Pawar N. Gestational diabetes mellitus with dyslipidemia: a case control study among antenatal clinic (ANC) attendees at NSCB medical college & hospital, Jabalpur, India. Glob J Res Anal. 2018;7(8):32-4.
- McGrowder DA, Grant K, Irving RR, Gordon LA, Crawford T, Alexander-Lindo RL, Fraser YT. Lipid profile and clinical characteristics of women with gestational diabetes mellitus and preeclampsia. J Med Biochem. 2009;28(2):72-81. doi: 10.2478/v10011-009-0007-x.
- Khan R, Khan Z, Ali K, Nazli R. Cholesterol and triglycerides may reached the undesirable level in gestational diabetes mellitus. Pak J Nutr. 2013;12(5):423-6. doi: 10.3923/ pjn.2013.423.426.
- Di Cianni G, Miccoli R, Volpe L, Lencioni C, Ghio A, Giovannitti MG, et al. Maternal triglyceride levels and newborn weight in pregnant women with normal glucose tolerance. Diabet Med. 2005;22(1):21-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2004.01336.x.
- Sánchez-Vera I, Bonet B, Viana M, Quintanar A, Martín MD, Blanco P, et al. Changes in plasma lipids and increased lowdensity lipoprotein susceptibility to oxidation in pregnancies complicated by gestational diabetes: consequences of obesity. Metabolism. 2007;56(11):1527-33. doi: 10.1016/j. metabol.2007.06.020.
- Aslan M, Celik O, Karsavuran N, Celik N, Dogan DG, Botan E, et al. Maternal serum and cord blood preptin levels in gestational diabetes mellitus. J Perinatol. 2011;31(5):350-5. doi: 10.1038/jp.2010.125.
- Eren E, Yilmaz N, Aydin O. High density lipoprotein and it's dysfunction. Open Biochem J. 2012;6:78-93. doi: 10.2174/1874091x01206010078.

